

**ORGANIMAX NUTRIENT CORP.**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF**  
**FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2018**

March 1st, 2019

**GENERAL**

OrganiMax Nutrient Corp. (the "Company") is a public company engaged in exploration for mineral deposits in Canada and Mexico. On April 28, 2016, the Company changed its name from Benton Capital Corp. to Alset Energy Corp. On May 3, 2017, the Company further changed its name to Alset Minerals Corp. and retained the same ticker symbol "ION" on the TSX Venture Exchange. On August 28, 2018, the Company further changed its name to OrganiMax Nutrient Corp. and ticker symbol to "KMAX" on the TSX Venture Exchange. The Company is in the early exploration stage with respect to its properties.

The following discussion of the financial condition and results of operations of the Company constitutes management's review of the factors that affected the Company's financial and operating performance to date and provides financial information for the six months ended December 31, 2018. The discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements for the six months ended December 31, 2018 and the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended June 30, 2018, including the notes thereto.

This MD&A was approved by the board of directors on March 1st, 2019.

Unless otherwise stated, all amounts discussed herein are denominated in Canadian dollars and all financial information (as derived from the Company's consolidated financial statements) has been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS").

**FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION**

Certain information regarding the Company within Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) may include "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities legislation. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this MD&A that address activities, events or developments that the Company expects or anticipates will or may occur in the future, including such things as future business strategy, goals, expansion and growth of the Company's businesses, operations, plans and other such matters are forward-looking statements. When used in this MD&A the words "estimate", "plan", "anticipate", "expect", "intend", "believe" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results in the future to differ materially from those anticipated in forward-looking statements. Although the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially, there may be other factors that cause results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. There can be no assurance that such statements will prove to be accurate as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

**OVERVIEW OF BUSINESS**

OrganiMax Nutrient Corp is a Canadian-based company focused on the advancement of its two significant 100% owned lithium/potassium projects: the Zacatecas-San Luis Potosi project located in central Mexico, and the Coahuila Salar project located in northern Mexico. These properties now cover over 424,000 hectares (over one million acres). The Company has previously identified high-grade potassium in both the salar sediments and near-surface brines, and is progressing towards its maiden sediment mineral resource estimate (MRE). In addition, recent

geophysical work has indicated that the depths of the salar basins are much greater than previously thought, making the salars highly prospective for large brine aquifers to be discovered at depth. In parallel to the MRE, OrganiMax is conducting a detailed geophysical program to define the extent of the salar basins and use the results to target a deep drilling program to test for brines at depth.

The Company will advance these projects through prospecting, sampling, geological mapping, geophysical surveying, trenching, and diamond drilling in order for management to determine if further work is justified. The Company's property portfolio also includes the Champion Graphite project in Ontario.

The Zacatecas-San Luis Potosi project is a sediment and brine-hosted potassium, lithium and boron project. It has been the subject of extensive exploration work over a number of years. Current efforts are focused on establishing a Mineral Resource estimate ("MRE") for three of the Company's more prospective salars. These are La Salada, Caligüey and Santa Clara. SRK Consulting has been engaged to undertake Mineral Resources estimates for these three salars. The ultimate deliverable of this work will be a NI 43-101 technical report describing the MRE's and an exploration data evaluation to support the continued development of these assets. The Company considers there to be adequate data to generate a sediment-based Inferred Mineral Resource for the three principal salars. SRK Consulting will also carry out a full technical audit of all sampling procedures including quality assurance/quality control ("QA/QC") of the company database.

The Company is also continuing with metallurgical work on the optimal extraction of lithium and potassium from the salar sediments. The Company is in the process of entering a collaboration Agreement with the Center for Research and Advanced Studies of the National Polytechnic Institute (CINVESTAV) in Satillo, the capital of Coahuila State, Mexico. This collaboration will include the implementation of the results from the leaching analysis work completed by CINVESTAV over the last year on samples from the Company salars. Further leaching and beneficiation studies are planned.

## **FINANCIAL & OPERATIONAL OVERVIEW**

### **Overall Performance**

Recent times have witnessed the drastic decline and continued volatility of the global financial markets. Share prices of junior exploration companies listed on the TSX Venture Exchange, including the Company, have experienced a significant impact as a result. Equity financing for the junior resource sector, its primary source of capital, can be difficult to obtain in such conditions.

The Company does not have enough working capital to fund its operations and intends to raise additional funds in the near future. The sustainability of the financial markets related to the mineral exploration sector cannot be determined. This continually poses a challenge for the Company to effectively manage its capital through these volatile conditions. Management has and will continue to evaluate strategic opportunities to aggressively acquire favourable advanced assets at depressed prices.

Overall, the Company feels it can effectively balance its growth opportunities with its need to raise additional funds and conserve capital at this time. Planned project expenditures are continually reviewed to ensure efficient and effective exploration is conducted and if needed, to reduce costs accordingly.

In April of 2018, the Company completed a non-brokered private placement of 8,270,167 units at a price of 12 cents per unit for gross proceeds of \$992,420. Each unit comprises one common share in the capital of the company and one-half of one common share purchase warrant.

### **Corporate**

On the March 19, 2018, Mr. Allan Laboucan resigned as President and CEO. Mr. Gennen McDowall, former Chairman of the Company was appointed President and CEO. On August 22, 2018, Mr. Timothy Mosey joined the

Board and became the Company's interim CEO. Mr. Gennen McDowall stepped down as President, CEO and Director in favor of retirement.

On November 29, 2018, the Company appointed Mr. Todd Hanas to the Board of Director. As a result of Mr. Hanas' appointment, Ms. Emily Hanson has resigned from the Board of Directors.

### **Financial Condition**

The Company's cash balance as at December 31, 2018 was \$79,741 compared to \$473,267 at June 30, 2018. Working capital deficiency of the Company as at December 31, 2018 was \$541,542 compared to working capital of \$23,040 as at June 30, 2018. The decrease in working capital was predominantly attributable to the acquisition and exploration of the Mexican Lithium Salars project, general and administrative expenses during the current period and increase in accounts payable due to certain Mexican mining taxes in arrears.

### **Results of Operations**

#### Three months ended December 31, 2018

The net loss for the three months ended December 31, 2018 ("2019Q2") was \$93,559 as compared to a net loss of \$160,557 in the comparative quarter of the previous year ("2018Q2"). The decrease in net loss of \$66,998 was mainly due to the changes in the following items:

1. Advertising and promotion expenses \$2,031 (2018Q2 – \$10,192) - decreased by \$8,161 due to no promotion activity in the current period as an attempt to preserve cash.
2. General administrative \$16,830 (2018Q2 – \$60,557) - decreased by \$43,727 due to departure of former directors and lower general administrative expenses incurred in the Mexico as a result of the Company's attempt to preserve cash.
3. Professional fees \$15,121 (2018Q2 - \$46,422) – decreased by \$31,301 due to lower legal and accounting fees charged by the current management of the Company.
4. Share-based payments \$3,118 (2018Q2 – \$27,298) – decreased by \$24,180 mainly due to the Company granting no options during the current period and recording share-based payments on the vesting of prior year stock options.
5. Consulting fees \$50,760 (2018Q2 - \$26,764) – increased by \$23,996 mainly due to hiring an additional consultant to assist with business development.

#### Six months ended December 31, 2018

The net loss for the six months ended December 31, 2018 ("YTD-2019Q2") was \$325,300 as compared to a net loss of \$203,541 in the comparative quarter of the previous year ("YTD-2018Q2"). The increase in net loss of \$121,759 was mainly due to the changes in the following items:

1. Consulting fees \$155,600 (YTD-2018Q2 – \$70,573) – increased by \$85,027 due to increased operating activities following the April 2018 private placement.
2. Advertising and promotion expenses \$21,166 (YTD-2018Q2 – \$16,091) - increased by \$5,075 due to significant promotion activity in the current period related to the Company's new focus on potassium, lithium and graphite.
3. General administrative \$56,176 (YTD-2018Q2 – \$78,269) – decreased by \$22,093 due to departure of former directors and lower general administrative expenses incurred in the Mexico as a result of the Company's attempt to preserve cash.
4. Share-based payments \$11,465 (YTD-2018Q2 – \$23,261) – decreased by \$11,796 mainly due to the Company granting no options during the current period and recording share-based payments on the vesting of prior year stock options.
5. Consulting fees \$155,600 (YTD-2018Q2 - \$70,573) – increased by \$85,027 mainly due to hiring an additional consultant to assist with business development.

## Cash Flows

The cash flows used in operating activities was \$298,621 in YTD-2019Q2 compared to \$266,373 in YTD-2018Q2.

Cash flows used in investing activities was \$94,905 in YTD-2019Q2 compared to \$177,999 in YTD-2018Q2. The decrease is due to the Company incurring significantly lower exploration and evaluation assets expenditures during the current period to preserve cash.

In October 2017, the Company completed a private placement of 833,333 units at \$0.24 per unit for gross proceeds of \$200,000. Each unit consists of one common share and one warrant exercisable at \$0.33 for 12 months.

In January 2018, the Company closed a private placement of 857,143 units at \$0.21 per unit for gross proceeds of \$180,000. Each unit comprises one common share and one common share purchase warrant. Each warrant is exercisable to acquire one additional common share at \$0.33 for 24 months. The Company paid finder's fees of \$7,000 and issued 33,333 broker warrants at a fair value of \$6,366. The broker warrants have the same terms as the unit warrants.

In April 2018, the Company closed a private placement of 8,270,167 units at \$0.12 per unit for gross proceeds of \$992,420. Each unit comprises one common share and one-half common share purchase warrant. Each warrant is exercisable to acquire one additional common share at \$0.15 for 36 months. The Company issued 366,880 finders' units at a fair value of \$85,304 and 516,880 broker warrants at a fair value of \$116,308. Each finders' unit comprises one common share and one-half common share purchase warrant ("finders' warrants"). Each finders' warrant and broker warrants are exercisable to acquire one additional common share at \$0.15 for 36 months.

## EXPLORATION AND EVALUATION ASSETS

Mineral property acquisition, exploration and development expenditures are deferred until the properties are placed into production, sold, impaired or abandoned. These deferred costs will be amortized over the estimated useful life of the properties following commencement of production, or written-down if the properties are allowed to lapse, are impaired, or are abandoned. The deferred costs associated with each property for the six months ended December 31, 2018 are summarized in the tables below:

	Lithium Salars	Champion Graphite	Total
	\$	\$	\$
<b>Acquisition costs</b>			
Balance, June 30, 2018	1,271,669	130,026	1,401,695
Acquisition	157,631	-	157,631
Balance, December 31, 2018	1,429,300	130,026	1,559,326
<b>Exploration and evaluation costs</b>			
Balance, June 30, 2018	1,015,296	68,859	1,084,155
Assays and analysis	31,764	-	31,764
Depreciation	490	-	490
Field supplies and miscellaneous	2,205	-	2,205
Geological consultants	21,750	7,250	29,000
Legal and administration	30,767	-	30,767
Travel and accomodation	1,169	-	1,169
Balance, December 31, 2018	1,103,441	76,109	1,179,550
Total, December 31, 2018	2,532,741	206,135	2,738,876

For details of the exploration evaluation costs, please see note 5 of the condensed consolidated interim financial statements for the six months ended December 31, 2018.

## Lithium-Potassium Salars - Mexico

### Acquisition

By agreement dated July 28, 2016 and subsequently amended on April 7, 2017, the Company acquired 100% interest in Mexican lithium, potassium and boron sediments and brine salar assets located in Zacatecas and San Luis Potosi, Mexico, which includes four large concessions containing seven top priority salars namely, Caligüey, La Doncella, Colorada, La Salada, Santa Clara, Saldivar and Chapala. The Company agreed to pay the outstanding mining taxes on the four concessions in the amount of \$143,870 (paid) and pay the vender US\$210,000 in cash. The Company paid the vender US\$20,000 and settled the balance of US\$190,000 by issuing 2,101,514 common shares of the Company during the year ended June 30, 2017.

In addition, the Company paid \$44,587 in finder's fee to an unrelated individual who subsequently was elected as director of the Company during the year ended June 30, 2017.

The property is subject to a total of 2.25% NSR in favour of the vender and the finder, of which 1% in favour to the vendor can be purchased by the Company for US\$250,000.

In June 2017, the Company entered into another agreement to acquire a 100% interest in 2 new salars in Mexico. In consideration, the Company issued 1,333,333 common shares of the Company in August 2017. The Company also agreed to pay the outstanding mining taxes on the two concessions totaling \$180,998. The salars are subject to a 2.5% NSR of which the Company has the right to purchase up to 1.5% for \$1,500,000.

## Additional Staking

In November 2017, the Company completed the staking and filing of mineral claims for nearly 1 million acres of prospective lithium-rich salars in the State of Coahuila, Mexico. Alset has a 100-per-cent interest in these properties and they are free of all royalties. The three project areas are located in the infrastructure rich region of La Laguna, to the east of the city of Torreon and include the Viesca Salar (Yaki 1 - 98,307 hectares), the Mayran Salar (Yaki 2 - 266,422 hectares), and the Los Remedios Salar (Yaki 3 - 36,929 hectares). The new salars are hosted in a classic closed-basin, and formed through the evaporation of a series of paleo-lakes.

These new acquisitions complement the Company's other salars which are also 100-per-cent owned by Alset where strong chemistry and the potential for very good leaching characteristics exists.

Total prospective land package held 100-per-cent by Alset now exceeds one million acres.

### Highlights of the New Salars:

- Size: the three salars cover a total of 401,658 hectares, the largest being 266,422 hectares;
- Infrastructure: roads and power up to the salars;
- Setting: salars hosted in an arid, closed-basin; areas under license have an overall north-south strike of some 150 kilometres and an east-west strike of approximately 125 kilometres;
- Brines: history of commercial brine extraction from the Viesca Salar by Sulfatos de Viesca;
- Underexplored: region is underexplored in regard to lithium/potassium potential. Known data is limited to controlled surface sampling by Radius Gold Inc. (Radius Gold Ltd, News Release Dated: 12<sup>th</sup> September 2016), which returned up to 189 ppm lithium at La Viesca salar;
- Hydrogeology: hydrogeological survey carried out at the Viesca salar by Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico;
- Chemistry: historical geochemical analysis documented in peer-reviewed paper;
- Opportunity: makes Alset a major player in lithium/potassium exploration;

The areas covered by these claims are the Viesca salar (Yaki-1), the Mayran salar (Yaki-2) and the Los Remedios salar (Yaki-3); all of which formed in closed-basin conditions from the evaporation of the ancient, saline Viesca, Mayran and Los Remedios lakes. A hydrogeological survey was carried out on the Viesca salar under the auspices of the Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico. The work is reported in the Journal of Hydrology, 284 (2003), pp 26-43. Water samples were collected from brine production wells, water production wells, and springs in 1998 and analyzed at MSD Environmental Services Limited, Toronto, Canada. Results and procedures of this work are detailed in the paper mentioned above. Samples were analyzed for multi-elements however this work did not include lithium. Groundwater flow cross-sectional modelling was carried out in combination with major ion and trace element chemistry.

The La Laguna Region is at the end of a large closed basin and is characterized by a lower, regional carbonate aquifer. This aquifer is overlain by salars in the low-lying regions that correspond to ancient lakes, and a granular aquifer in the higher portions of the basin. Data from boreholes drilled by Sulfatos de Viesca and modelling reported in the paper mentioned above indicate a maximum thickness of 350 metres for the Viesca salar, which is host to the brines previously extracted by Sulfatos de Viesca. Thickness of the underlying carbonate aquifer is unknown, although geological information from the area indicates that the carbonate aquifer is made up of two cretaceous formations both having an approximate thickness of approximately 500 metres.

## Historical Geological Information - Zacatecas-San Luis Potosi Region

According to a 1992 government study prepared by the Mexico's former Mineral Resource Council, (now the Geologic Society of Mexico) on the San Jose de Caligüey salar located within one of the Company's concessions in San Luis Potosi, Mexico. The purpose of the study was to improve efficiency of a common salt (sodium chloride, NaCl) production operation within the salar. The salt production process began with pumping salar brine from a well 20 meters deep to a number of evaporation ponds "where it remains for a number of days (a minimum of 90 days) to evaporate the water through the sun's energy. This concentrates and crystallizes the sodium chlorides and sulfates and, to a lesser extent, potassium. They are harvested as a solid and separated into first, second, and third quality, depending on how pure they are."

As part of the study, the Resource Council collected a number of samples, both sediments, and liquid from facility evaporation ponds and the surface lagoon adjacent to the operation and sent for salt and lithium (Li) analyses, the results showed that the salar at Caligüey contained high levels of lithium and potassium. A number of holes (5) were subsequently drilled at Caligüey. Sampling was carried out and the presence of lithium and potassium were noted, however no quality control or quality assurance was carried out and sample contamination was suspected. These holes did not intersect basement. Depths for the holes ranged from 34 to 60 metres.

## Work Program

During the year, the Company engaged SRK Consulting to carry a Mineral Resource Estimate (MRE) and a 43-101 compliant technical report for three of the Company's salars. These are La Salada, Caligüey and Santa Clara and to provide recommendations for further work required to perform MREs on the Company's other salars in Zacatecas and San Luis Potosi, Mexico.

During this quarter the Company also commenced further geochemical, metallurgical and mineralogical testing of the sediment samples recovered in 2017 to better support geochemical modelling for the MRE and to test for recovery of potassium, lithium, boron and other minerals of interest. The Company intends in due course to follow the completion of the MRE report with ground geophysical surveys, drill permitting and drilling to test for brine at depth in the Zacatecas and San Luis Potosi, Mexico projects.

The Company also intends in due course to initiate an exploration program on the salars acquired in November 2017; this program will likely include environmental and drill permitting, surface sampling of sediments and brines, sampling of historical water wells, and ground geophysics.

## Drilling Results - 2017

Two diamond drill holes were intended to determine depth to bedrock and to evaluate the geology of the salar infill material. However, due to slow drilling and poor recovery the first hole ended at a depth of 53.15 metres (m) in limestone. The second drill hole has been postponed until more productive and efficient drilling equipment is available. Upon completion of drilling, a perforated PVC casing was placed throughout the entire length of the hole in order to monitor and sample brine horizons intersected during drilling. This hole will be purged and sampled to determine the water composition at depth. The conductivity of the water measured high on site, which may indicate the presence of brine.

Forty auger holes were completed; both near surface brine samples and extensive sediment samples were recovered. Auger holes ranged from 4.5 to 26.0 metres and averaged 14.4 metres in depth, they were completed on a 150-200 metre grid covering the entire salar for a total area of some 1,800 metres by 900 metres.

The brine results showed high potassium, high sulphate, low calcium, low magnesium and low lithium.

However, in contrast the composite sediment samples which were taken along 1.0 to 3.0m intervals in the deep hole, and along 1.5 metre intervals in the auger holes showed relatively high lithium and high potassium values. To date assays for samples from 5 of these auger holes and the deep hole, 74 samples in total, have been completed with 33 holes pending. Assays returned significant lithium and potassium values. Lithium results ranged from 79 to 1,860 ppm, with a weighted average of 724 ppm, potassium ranged from 1.5% to 6.45% with a weighted average of 3.73% (Table 1).

**Table 1: Summary of ‘Sediment Data by Drill Hole**

Hole ID	Location	Depth (m)	Max			Weighted Averages		
			K (%)	Li (ppm)	B (ppm)	K (%)	Li (ppm)	B (ppm)
LS17-AG005	south	15.6	3.76	1,840	790	3.01	1,633	630
LS17-AG013	south	21.0	6.32	1,860	638	3.75	895	428
LS17-AG019	centre	24.0	5.68	1,530	849	3.42	932	568
LS17-AG028	north	11.5	5.21	1,270	823	3.87	629	440
LS17-AG034	north	8.0	6.11	1,020	984	4.29	528	666
ALL AUGER HOLES		14.4 (avg)				3.58	975	535
LS17-TT01	north	51.4	6.45	790	574	4.05	196	249
ALL SAMPLES			6.45	1,860	984	3.73	724	443

Near surface brine samples were collected from 38 of the auger holes. These results returned high potassium and high sulphate values. For the brine samples, potassium peaked at 27,300 mg/L with an average of 12,718 mg/L, sulphate peaked at 40,000 mg/L with an average of 16,594 mg/L. These results are shown in Table 3. These results indicate that further work, to identify potential brines and aquifers at depth, depth to bedrock, brine volumes and recharge rates, is warranted.

**Table 3: Summary of Brine Results**

Hole ID	Sample ID	Potassium (mg/L)	Sulphate (mg/L)	Boron (mg/L)	Lithium (mg/L)	Calcium (mg/L)	Magnesium (mg/L)
LS17-AG002	547	3,540	2,800	46.5	3	65.7	62.9
LS17-AG003	544	575	1,300	23.2	2	49.4	45.9
LS17-AG004	549	7,000	10,000	134	4	15.6	12.6
LS17-AG005	504	7,750	9,200	156	4	21.7	22.1
LS17-AG006	505	3,970	4,600	83.0	2	19.8	19.4
LS17-AG008	543	683	490	19.4	7	395.0	266.0
LS17-AG009	523	2,940	3,100	55.7	2	16.8	8.2
LS17-AG010	517	3,530	3,200	61.5	3	79.0	18.2
LS17-AG011	516	4,970	3,000	64.4	3	3.9	3.6
LS17-AG012	508	4,900	3,800	82.3	3	3.6	4.2
LS17-AG014	522	12,500	18,000	249	4	3.1	2.0
LS17-AG016	518	17,800	18,000	284	8	7.6	10.0
LS17-AG017	519	22,000	23,000	377	11	12.8	10.0
LS17-AG018	521	23,800	31,000	465	13	68.7	97.0
LS17-AG020	520	18,700	16,000	285	12	60.1	89.2
LS17-AG021	542	2,080	3,800	78.3	<1	39.2	42.8
LS17-AG022	525	8,830	8,100	135	6	4.4	1.6
LS17-AG024	541	711	610	12.2	1	20.9	30.9
LS17-AG025	550	16,900	30,000	431	14	441.0	213.0
LS17-AG026	528	23,100	36,000	497	13	7.7	3.6
LS17-AG027	527	14,200	17,000	296	11	9.7	2.2
LS17-AG028	513	8,860	09,800	145	6	22.3	9.8
LS17-AG029	530	22,500	3,6000	544	16	4.7	5.2

LS17-AG030	531	24,000	31,000	431	13	20.9	16.0
LS17-AG031	532	22,800	34,000	515	20	30.8	17.6
LS17-AG032	540	4,820	4,300	28.9	2	10.4	5.3
LS17-AG034	511	27,300	40,000	677	22	3.4	1.1
LS17-AG035	534	21,500	27,000	410	15	18.8	9.3
LS17-AG036	539	7,010	7,900	96.8	3	49.6	21.6
LS17-AG037	535	21,700	34,000	524	16	217.0	44.2
LS17-AG038	537	22,800	33,000	565	21	19.7	8.0
LS17-AG039	536	23,200	31,000	481	16	85.8	52.2
	<b>Max</b>	<b>27,300</b>	<b>40,000</b>	<b>677</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>441.0</b>	<b>266.0</b>
	<b>Average</b>	<b>12,718</b>	<b>16,594</b>	<b>258</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>57.2</b>	<b>36.1</b>

#### Analytical Work - 2017

The drilling program and associated analytical work was designed to better understand the geochemistry and deportment of lithium and other minerals in subsurface sediments and the depth and chemistry of potential brine in the La Salada salar. With the new brine discovery, La Salada has the potential to become both a brine and sediments resource. Results from this program will allow the Company to determine the best way to move forward in evaluating the potential of this salar.

The sediments collected during the auger program will be used for scoping metallurgical testing, including geochemistry, mineralogy and leach testing. The first stage of analytical work includes head-grade geochemical analysis of sediments from selected auger holes. Composite samples will then be generated based on geochemical results and lithology, and used for mineralogical characterization and leaching tests. The first phase of analytical work will add confidence to previous leaching tests that demonstrated 86% recovery of lithium from La Salada sediments using a week acid leach; and allow the Company to move forward with more detailed metallurgical testing on the remaining sediments recovered from the auger program.

Of the 13 salars sampled, 9 had average lithium grades exceeding 200ppm, with 4 salars averaging lithium grades of around 400ppm and higher (Table 4). Santa Clara, the largest salar, had an average lithium grade of 392ppm with a high of 890ppm. At Chapala salar, lithium grades reached a high of 530ppm with an average of 416ppm. Hernandez salar returned a high of 670ppm and average of 556ppm. The highest maximum and average lithium grades came from the Caligüey salar with a high of 1820ppm and an average of 769ppm.

Sampling also returned encouraging results for potassium (K). The average potassium grade for all 13 salars range from 1.20% to 3.38% K, with 9 of the salars exceeding an average grade of 2.00% K. At Caligüey, Santa Clara and El Salitral the average grade exceeded 3.38% K. Caligüey returned a maximum grade of 5.29% K, with an average grade of 3.38% K. Santa Clara, returned a maximum grade of 4.60% K. El Salitral returned a maximum grade of 4.28% K and an average grade of 3.78% K.

**Table 4: Summary of Sediments Data**

Salar	No. of Samples	Li (ppm)			K (%)		
		Avg	Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max
<b>Caligüey</b>	36	769	210	1,820	3.38	1.73	5.29
<b>Santa Clara</b>	59	392	70	890	3.55	1.69	4.60
<b>Colorada</b>	30	234	80	310	2.34	1.80	2.73
<b>Saldivar</b>	28	139	80	200	2.22	1.83	2.67
<b>Hernandez</b>	5	556	440	670	1.62	1.46	2.02
<b>Chapala</b>	7	416	190	530	1.20	0.59	1.65
<b>El Salitral</b>	5	284	150	590	3.78	3.33	4.28
<b>La Prietta</b>	1	250	-	-	2.56	-	-
<b>Las Casas</b>	5	234	190	260	2.51	2.22	3.47
<b>El Agrito</b>	5	224	120	320	2.79	2.54	3.27
<b>El Cristalillo</b>	2	160	130	190	2.00	1.50	2.50
<b>La Doncella</b>	1	130	-	-	1.68	-	-
<b>Laguna Larga</b>	2	75	70	80	1.93	1.88	1.97

It is important to note that these samples were taken using a coarse grid, for example, at Santa Clara 59 samples were taken on a 500-metre grid. The reconnaissance auger sampling program was designed to obtain a series of near-surface samples from all of the salars to help with prioritizing future exploration.

Sediment samples were collected using a hand-held motorized auger or a hammer and hollow-tube sampling method. Material recovered from the top portion of each hole was discarded and composite samples were collected from the 0.5m down to a maximum of 1.0m using the auger, and from 0.3 or 0.4 down to 0.7m in the case of the tube sampler. Reconnaissance-scale grids were completed at the Caligüey, Santa Clara, Colorada and Saldivar salars (Table 5). Due to flooding, only a small portion of the planned grid was completed at Chapala. 1 to 5 samples were collected from the other salars (Table 4).

Based on the results of this program, follow up work will include acid leach testing for lithium and potassium liberation. Further drilling and sampling to investigate the lithium and potassium potential of the sediments at depth and to test for brines will also be planned for a number of the salars.

**Table 5: Sample Grid Sizes**

Salar	Grid Size (m)	No. of Samples
<b>Caligüey</b>	200 x 400	36
<b>Santa Clara</b>	500 x 500	59
<b>Colorada</b>	200 x 200	30
<b>Saldivar</b>	200 x 200	28
<b>Chapala</b>	400 x 400	7

Emily Hanson, PGeo, Vice-President of Exploration, is the qualified person who has prepared, supervised and approved the preparation of the scientific and technical disclosure as above.

## Champion Graphite

The 100% owned Champion Graphite property is located 60km north of Kenora, Ontario, Canada and consists of 29 units in 2 unpatented mining claims. The Company acquired the project in May 2016 from Benton Resources Inc. (“Benton”, a company related by common directors and officers) by paying to Benton 1 million common shares of the Company and subject to a 2% Net Smelter Royalty (“NSR”) in favour of Benton, one-half of which (1%) can be bought back by the Company for \$500,000.

The staked ground covers a large concentration of airborne electromagnetic (EM) anomalies hosted in metasediments. The airborne survey was conducted by Dighem Surveys & Processing Inc in 1989 on behalf of Champion Bear Resources Ltd. Dighem describes the anomalous area as consisting of numerous sub-parallel bedrock conductors of variable strength associated with a highly complex magnetic unit (MNDM assessment files). A year prior to the airborne geophysical survey, historical trenching was conducted by Bellwether Resources Ltd. in 1988. The trenching uncovered graphite occurrences where channel samples returned weighted average grades of up to 1.76% carbon over 25.0m (MNDM assessment files).

The Company’s field personnel made an initial visit to the property in the spring of 2016 to ground truth a number of the EM anomalies. Using a geophysical instrument designed by Geonics Limited in 1963 known as the Ronka EM 15, the Company identified and confirmed multiple individual conductive zones associated with flake graphite in overburden covered areas. Seven small individual holes dug to bedrock at a depth of approximately 1m over a distance of approximately 1.3km across stratigraphy has identified flake graphite that graded from 1.7% to 8.98% Carbon. the Company is very encouraged with these initial grab samples yet cautions that all the sampling results above are selective grab samples and may not be reflective of the average grade of any of these identified zones.

Permit applications for stripping/trenching and eventual drilling have been obtained from the Ministry of Northern Development and Mines (MNDM).

In August 2016, the Company received the results of the surface channel sampling program completed on the project. A total of 5 trenches were completed intermittently over a distance of approximately 1km, ranged from 9m to 94m long and 2m wide and were excavated perpendicular to the strike of the stratigraphy. Each trench, oriented in a northwesterly direction, tested a separate parallel airborne electromagnetic anomalies with the exception of Trench 1 which was oriented east west and designed to cross folded stratigraphy. A total of 114 channel samples, of which 112 were 2m in length, were cut from the bedrock and composite assays are listed in the following table:

Trench No	Graphitic Carbon %	Length (m)	Comments
<b>Trench 1</b>	2.89	4.0	open to NW and SE
and	4.19	4.0	open to NW and SE
and	5.96	1.5	open to NW and SE
and	1.86	14.0	
incl	3.01	8.0	
<b>Trench 2</b>	1.16	18.0	
incl	1.40	12.0	
incl	2.00	4.0	
and	2.37	8.0	open to south
<b>Trench 3</b>	2.70	18.0	open to south
incl	2.33	8.0	
and	6.51	4.0	open to south
and	2.50	30.0	open to north
incl	3.65	6.0	open to north
incl	3.96	12.0	
and	4.76	16.0	open to south
incl	6.40	6.0	open to south
<b>Trench 4</b>	1.10	4.0	open to south
<b>Trench 5</b>	3.23	8.0	open to north and south

Individual assays of the 2m cut samples ranged from trace to 8.19% graphitic carbon. Many of the highly mineralized zones ended due to deeper overburden conditions and remain open for expansion. The graphitic mineralization is vertically dipping or close to it and as such the lengths of the composites listed above are close to true thickness. As well, a minimum of 7 strong parallel airborne electromagnetic anomalies ranging from 500 to 2000m long have yet to be investigated.

The surface channel samples were submitted to Activation Laboratories Ltd. (“Actlabs”), that is ISO 17025 accredited, in Thunder Bay, ON where they were prepped. The pulverized samples were then sent to Actlabs in Ancaster where the samples were analyzed using their 4F-C graphitic carbon infrared technique.

Channel sample rejects have been sent for metallurgical testing that will include graphite recoveries, impurities analysis, and flake size distribution.

## SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

Three Month Period Ending	Net Earnings / (Loss)	Net Earnings / (Loss) per Share Basic and Diluted <sup>(1) (2)</sup>
	\$	\$
December 31, 2018	(93,559)	(0.00)
September 30, 2018	(231,741)	(0.01)
June 30, 2018	(636,508)	(0.03)
March 31, 2018	(257,528)	(0.01)
December 31, 2017	(160,557)	(0.01)
September 30, 2017	(42,984)	(0.01)
June 30, 2017	38,661	-
March 31, 2017	(401,753)	(0.03)

1. Basic per share calculations are made using the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period.
2. Earnings (loss) per share on a diluted basis is the same as the basic calculation per share as all factors are anti-dilutive.

## FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company’s financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents and accounts payable and accrued liabilities. It is management’s opinion that the Company is not exposed to significant interest or credit risks arising from these financial instruments.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As at December 31, 2018, the Company has a net working capital deficiency of \$541,542 (June 30, 2018 – working capital of \$23,040), cash on hand of \$79,741 (June 30, 2018 - \$473,267), and a deficit of \$10,955,680 (June 30, 2018 - \$10,630,380).

The Company's unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared on the basis that the Company will continue as a going concern, which assumes the realization of assets and the settlement of liabilities in the normal course of business. The appropriateness of the going concern assumption is dependent upon the Company's ability to generate future profitable operations and/or generate continued financial support in the form of equity financings. These material uncertainties cast significant doubt regarding the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management feels that sufficient working capital will be obtained from public share offerings to meet the Company's liabilities and commitments as they come due. The condensed consolidated interim financial statements do not reflect any adjustments to the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the reported expenses and statement of financial position classification that would be necessary if the going concern assumption were not appropriate and such adjustments could be material.

The recovery of amounts shown as exploration and evaluation assets is dependent upon the discovery of economically recoverable resources, the ability of the Company to obtain adequate financing to complete development, and upon future profitable operations from the properties or proceeds from the dispositions thereof.

The Company currently has no operations that generate cash flow and its long-term financial success is contingent upon management's ability to locate economically recoverable resources. This process can take many years to complete, cannot be guaranteed of success, and is also subject to factors beyond the control of management. Factors such as commodity prices, the health of the equity markets and the track record and experience of management all impact the Company's ability to raise funds to complete exploration and development programs.

## **CAPITAL MANAGEMENT**

The Company's objectives when managing capital are as follows:

- i. To safeguard the Company's ability to continue as a going concern;
- ii. To raise sufficient capital to finance its exploration and development activities on its mineral exploration properties;
- iii. To raise sufficient capital to meet its general and administrative expenditures.

The Company manages its capital structure and makes adjustments to it based on the general economic conditions, its short-term working capital requirements, and its planned exploration and development program expenditure requirement. The capital structure of the Company is composed of working capital (deficiency) and shareholders' equity. The Company may manage its capital by issuing flow through or common shares, or by obtaining additional financing.

The Company utilizes annual capital and operating expenditure budgets to facilitate the management of its capital requirement. These budgets are approved by management and updated for changes in the budgets underlying assumptions as necessary.

## **SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING JUDGEMENTS AND ESTIMATES**

The preparation of the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements for the six months ended December 31, 2018 requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of expenses during the reporting period. Actual outcomes could differ from these estimates. The condensed consolidated interim financial statements include estimates which, by their nature, are uncertain. The impacts of such estimates are pervasive throughout the consolidated financial statements, and may require accounting adjustments based on future occurrences. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the period in which the estimate is revised and the revision affects both current and future periods.

Significant assumptions about the future and other sources of estimation uncertainty that management has made at the date of the statement of financial position that could result in a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities, in the event that actual results differ from assumptions made, relate to, but are not limited to, the following:

- i. the recoverability of amounts receivable which are included in the condensed consolidated interim statements of financial position;
- ii. the carrying amount and recoverability of exploration and evaluation expenditures requires judgment in determining whether it is likely that future economic benefits will flow to the Company, which may be based on assumptions about future events or circumstances. Estimates and assumptions made may change if new information becomes available. If, after costs are capitalized, information becomes available suggesting that the recovery of expenditure is unlikely, the amount capitalized is written off to profit or loss in the period the new information becomes available; and

#### Critical accounting judgments

The following accounting policies involve judgments or assessments made by management:

- The determination of when an exploration and evaluation asset moves from the exploration stage to the development stage; and
- Going concern assumption.

### **OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

The Company has not participated in any off-balance sheet or income statement arrangements.

### **RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

For details, please refer to note 7 of the consolidated financial statements.

### **RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES**

#### Nature of Mineral Exploration and Mining

At the present time, the Company does not hold any interest in a mining property in production. The Company's viability and potential success lie in its ability to discover, develop, exploit and generate revenue out of mineral deposits. The exploration and development of mineral deposits involve significant financial risks over a significant period of time which even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate. While discovery of a mine may result in substantial rewards, few properties which are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. Major expenses may be required to establish reserves by drilling and to construct mining and processing facilities at a site. It is impossible to ensure that the current or proposed exploration programs on exploration properties in which the Company has an interest will result in a profitable commercial mining operation.

The operations of the Company are subject to all of the hazards and risks normally incidental to exploration and development of mineral properties, any of which could result in damage to life or property, environmental damage and possible legal liability for any or all damage. The activities of the Company may be subject to prolonged disruptions due to weather conditions depending on the location of operations in which the Company has interests. Hazards, such as a unusual or unexpected formation, rock bursts, pressures, cave-ins, flooding or other conditions may be encountered in the drilling and removal of material. While the Company may obtain insurance against certain risks in such amounts as it considers adequate, the nature of these risks is such that liabilities could exceed policy limits or could be excluded from coverage. There are also risks against which the Company cannot insure or against which it may elect not to insure. The potential costs which could be associated with any liabilities not covered by insurance or in excess of insurance coverage or compliance with applicable laws and regulations may cause substantial delays and require significant capital outlays, adversely affecting the future earnings and competitive position of the Company and, potentially, its financial position.

Whether a mineral deposit will be commercially viable depends on a number of factors, some of which are the particular attributes of the deposit, such as its size and grade, proximity to infrastructure, financing costs and governmental regulations, including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, infrastructure, land use, importing and exporting and environmental protection. The effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in the Company not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.

#### Fluctuating Prices

Factors beyond the control of the Company may affect the marketability of any copper, nickel, gold, platinum or any other minerals discovered. Resource prices have fluctuated widely and are affected by numerous factors beyond the Company's control. The effect of these factors cannot accurately be predicted.

#### Competition

The mineral exploration and mining business is competitive in all of its phases. The Company competes with numerous other companies and individuals, including competitors with greater financial, technical and other resources than the Company, in the search for and acquisition of attractive mineral properties. The ability of the Company to acquire properties in the future will depend not only on its ability to develop its present properties, but also on its ability to select and acquire suitable properties or prospects for mineral exploration. There is no assurance that the Company will continue to be able to compete successfully with its competitors in acquiring such properties or prospects.

#### Financing Risks

The Company has limited financial resources and no current revenues. There is no assurance that additional funding will be available to it for further exploration and development of its projects or to fulfill its obligations under applicable agreements. Although the Company has been successful in the past in obtaining financing through the sale of equity securities, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain adequate financing in the future or that the terms of such financing will be favourable. Failure to obtain such additional financing could result in delay or indefinite postponement of further exploration and development of the property interests of the Company with the possible dilution or loss of such interests.

#### Permits and Licenses

The operations of the Company may require licenses and permits from various governmental authorities. The Company believes that it presently holds all necessary licenses and permits required carrying on with activities which it is currently conducting under applicable laws and regulations and the Company believes it is presently complying in all material respects with the terms of such laws and regulations. However, such laws and regulations are subject to change. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain all necessary licenses and permits required to carry out exploration, development and mining operations at its projects.

### No Assurance of Titles

The acquisition of title to mineral projects is a very detailed and time consuming process. Although the Company has taken precautions to ensure that legal title to its property interests is properly recorded in the name of the Company where possible, there can be no assurance that such title will ultimately be secured. Furthermore, there is no assurance that the interest of the Company in any of its properties may not be challenged or impugned.

### Environmental Regulations

The operations of the Company are subject to environmental regulations promulgated by government agencies from time to time. Environmental legislation provides for restrictions and prohibitions on spills, releases or emissions of various substances produced in association with certain mineral exploration and mining operations, which would result in environmental pollution. A breach of such legislation may result in the imposition of fines and penalties. In addition, certain types of operations require the submission and approval of environmental impact assessments. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner which means stricter standards, and enforcement, fines and penalties for non-compliance are more stringent. Environmental assessments of proposed projects carry a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their directors, officers and employees. The cost of compliance with changes in governmental regulations has a potential to reduce the profitability of operations.

### Conflicts of Interest

The directors and officers of the Company may serve as directors or officers of other public resource companies or have significant shareholdings in other public resource companies. Situations may arise in connection with potential acquisitions and investments where the other interests of these directors and officers may conflict with the interest of the Company. In the event that such a conflict of interest arises at a meeting of the directors of the Company, a director is required by the *Business Corporations Act* (Ontario) to disclose the conflict of interest and to abstain from voting on the matter.

From time to time several companies may participate in the acquisition, exploration and development of natural resource properties thereby allowing for their participation in larger programs, permitting involvement in a greater number of programs and reducing financial exposure in respect of any one program. It may also occur that a particular company will assign all or a portion of its interest in a particular program to another of these companies due to the financial position of the company making the assignment. In determining whether or not the Company will participate in a particular program and the interest therein to be acquired by it, the directors will primarily consider the degree of risk to which the Company may be exposed and its financial position at that time.

## MANAGEMENT

The Company is dependent on a relatively small number of key people, the loss of any of whom could have an adverse effect on its operations. Any key person insurance which the Company may have on these individuals may not adequately compensate for the loss of the value of their services. Changes in management since the beginning of the period are summarized as follows:

Current directors:	William Harper	Director	Appointed March 23, 2009
	Timothy Mosey	Director	Appointed August 22, 2018
	Todd Hanas	Director	Appointed November 29, 2018
Current officers:	Timothy Mosey	Interim CEO	Appointed August 22, 2018
	Dong H. Shim	CFO	Appointed April 25, 2018

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information relating to the Company is available on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).

## LATEST OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA

Authorized:

Class A common - unlimited  
Class B common - unlimited  
Common shares - unlimited

Issued:

Class A common - nil  
Class B common - nil  
Common shares - 28,274,369 shares

Effective February 28, 2018, the Company completed a share consolidation on the basis of 3 pre-consolidation common shares for 1 post-consolidation common share. This report has been retrospectively adjusted to reflect this consolidation.

Common shares - The Company has the following common shares issued and outstanding:

<b>Common Shares</b>	<b>Number of Shares</b>
Balance, December 31, 2018 and the date of this report	28,274,369

Options outstanding and exercisable at date of this report, post share consolidation, are as follows:

<b>Expiry Date</b>	<b>Exercise Price</b>	<b>Number of Options</b>
September 6, 2020	\$ 0.45	83,333
April 21, 2021	\$ 0.21	141,667
July 27, 2021	\$ 1.17	66,666
December 12, 2021	\$ 0.39	264,332
March 29, 2022	\$ 0.45	83,333
May 10, 2022	\$ 0.45	429,677

Balance, date of this report 1,069,008

Warrants outstanding at date of this report, post share consolidation, are as follows:

<b>Expiry Date</b>	<b>Number of Warrants</b>	<b>Exercise Price</b>
April 16, 2021	4,835,404	\$ 0.15
Balance, date of this report	4,835,404	